

GRADING OF PROJECTS ON A SCALE OF 1 TO 10

Quantitative Aspect	Physical	8-9		
	Financial	8-9		
Qualitative Aspect	Survival	7-10		
	Health of Plantations	7-8		
	Maintenance	7-8		
	Sustainability	8-9		
JFM	Degree of People's Participation	7-8		
	Satisfaction over perceived benefits by the local population	7-8		
FDA Mechanism	Composition of General and executive Bodies of the FDA	8-9		
	Role of FDA in Administrative, Supervisory and Monitoring mechanism of the project	7-8		
	Flow of funds from FDA to VFC's	6-7		
	Planning, Implementation and maintenance of Assets Directly by VFC's/EDC's	7-8		
Overall Grading of the Project	Outstanding (8-10) 8	Very Good (5<8)	Good (3<5)	Poor (<3)

Justification for the scores

Physical-Financial: The overall physical and financial performance was by and large in conformity with the sanctioned work programme under the project.

Qualitative Aspects: Survival was quite high except in isolated cases. However, some plantations were damaged due to various reasons. The use of quality planting stock, timely weeding and hoeing, and effective protection would lead to sustainability of plantations and assets created.

JFM: JFM process is at a stage of infancy. More needs to be done in involving people and especially women. The critical issues of empowerment, building up management capabilities and devising proper mechanism for addressing equity issues in usufruct sharing for sustainability is essential. Since the availability of forest products is not upto the expectations of villagers the satisfaction level is not very high. Though, the villagers are satisfied with the availability of grass.