

Evaluation findings summarized as overall grading of the project in Table-1.

**Table 1: Overall grading of the project**

<b>GRADING OF PROJECT ON A SCALE OF 1 TO 10</b>				
<b>QUANTITATIVE ASPECT</b>				
	<b>PHYSICAL</b>			<b>7.0</b>
	<b>FINANCIAL</b>			<b>7.0</b>
<b>QUALITATIVE ASPECT</b>				
	<b>SURVIVAL</b>			<b>7.0</b>
	<b>HEALTH OF PLANTATIONS</b>			<b>7.0</b>
	<b>SUSTAINABILITY</b>			<b>7.0</b>
<b>JFM</b>				
	<b>DEGREE OF PEOPLE'S PARTICIPATION</b>			<b>6.5</b>
	<b>SATISFACTION OVER PERCEIVED BENEFITS BY THE LOCAL POPULATION</b>			<b>7.0</b>
<b>FDA MECHANISM</b>				
	<b>COMPOSITION OF GENERAL AND EXECUTIVE BODIES OF THE FDA</b>			<b>8.5</b>
	<b>ROLE OF FDA IN ADMINISTRATIVE, SUPERVISORY AND MONITORING MECHANISM OF THE PROJECT</b>			<b>6.0</b>
	<b>FLOW OF FUNDS FROM FDA TO VFCs</b>			<b>7.0</b>
	<b>PLANNING, IMPLEMENTATION AND MAINTENANCE OF ASSETS DIRECTLY BY VFCs/EDCs</b>			<b>7.0</b>
<b>OVERALL GRADING OF THE PROJECT</b>	<b>OUTSTANDING (8 – 10)</b>	<b>VERY GOOD (5 - &lt;8)</b>	<b>GOOD (3 - &lt;5)</b>	<b>POOR (&lt;3)</b>
		<b>7.0</b>		